

Heart rate variability during controlled respiration after endurance training

GUZII O. V.¹, ROMANCHUK A. P.²

¹Lviv State University of Physical Culture, UKRAINE

²Odesa Medical Institute of International Humanitarian University, UKRAINE

Published online: September 30, 2017

(Accepted for publication September 16, 2017)

DOI:10.7752/jpes.2017.03203

Abstract:

Heart rate variability (HRV) during spontaneous respiration (SR) and controlled respiration 6 (CR₆) and 15 (CR₁₅) times per minute of 28 highly qualified athletes before and after 7-week endurance training was studied. There were no considerable changes in HR (min.⁻¹) at the beginning of CR₆, however, the reaction of HR during CR₁₅ was characterized by noticeable rise: from 71.4(63.9;77.5) to 82.0 (72.2;89.3), $p < 0.01$; and after endurance training HR (min.⁻¹) it sharply fell to 64.3 (60.8;68.3), $p < 0.01$ during SR; its reaction to CR₆ and CR₁₅ turned to be rather lower, yet, the reaction to CR₁₅ with insignificant degree of probability still exceeded the one during SR та CR₆. The latter may indicate a more adequate HR response to hyperventilation, which occurs when the tests are performed. HRV indices before and after training during CR₆ do not differ between one another. The increase in endurance was reflected in response of HF (ms²) of HRV that was not practically influenced by controlled respiration. That differed them from the data at the beginning of the training where there was significant decrease in HF (ms²) to 967.2(479.6;2540.2) during CR₁₅ in comparison with SR (2035.7(756.3;6037.3)) and CR₆ (2560.9(1361.6; 5098.0)) ($p < 0.05$). There was significant decrease in LF/HF ($p < 0.01$) during CR₁₅ in response to increase in endurance. VLF (ms²) indices during SR at the beginning and in the end of tests do not differ; and the reaction to CR₆ does not lead to changes in VLF (ms²). The reaction to CR₁₅ at the beginning does not differ from SR and CR₆ indices. At the end of the training there was a significant decrease in VLF (ms²) during CR₁₅ 348.0(196.0;590.5) comparing to both SR 765.2(299.3;1108.9) and CR₆ 637.6(470.9;930.3), $p < 0.01$.

Key words: heart rate variability, controlled respiration, athletes, endurance.

Introduction

Physical activity has great influence on autonomic regulation of the heart [1]. To determine the vegetative effects on the body heart rate variability (HRV) is investigated, the use of indices of which during stepping, current and operational inspections should significantly improve medical control of athletes for objectification of states of fatigue, overstrain, prevention of overtraining and other clinical conditions that may arise under the influence of excessive physical activity [2,3]. In most sports general physical endurance is important in the preparation of athlete. It causes corresponding changes in functional systems of the body that are associated with economization of cardiorespiratory system activity at rest and maximum mobilization of the system that is responsible for oxygen transport when performing intense exercise [4,5].

The HRV studies of recent years have revealed the correlation of changes in the ratio of the low frequency and high frequency component of HRV with the intensity of loads [6], certain connections with the low frequency and high frequency components of HRV were obtained depending on the intensity and direction of training loads [7,8]. Informative data on changes in HRV were obtained in the analysis of recovery processes in the body after performing physical activity of varying intensity [9, 10, 11]. A number of studies in which the intensity of physical activity was selected based on the changes in the HRV indices allowed to prove the effectiveness of this approach from the point of view of the development of the level of training [12,13]. Other studies [14] have shown that HRV changes in accordance with maximum absorption of oxygen and lactic acid levels. Some authors [15,16,17,18,19,20] observed the development of vegetative imbalance in the onset of overtraining. In our earlier studies, we showed the relationship between HRV indicators and athletes' health [21], their differentiation during recovery [22], differences at the stages of the training process [23,24], and others.

At the same time, the informativeness of the HRV indices is limited especially in conditions of rest, therefore, various stress tests are often used to specify the state and reactivity of the autonomic nervous system: dosage of physical activity, a change in body position, medication, controlled respiration (CR) [6]. The latter showed significant informativity in determining the high level of physical performance, the characteristics of hemodynamic support of the athlete, the baroreflexor activity after training load and during the recovery [22]. Today, methods of correction of functional state of the body are widely used in the practice of sports medicine.

They are based on the use of feedback from the HRV associated with the frequency and rhythm of respiration, which also has a clear practical value [25, 26].

Materials and Methods

The purpose of this study was to determine the changes in HRV indices for arbitrary and controlled respiration in qualified athletes who, for a long time, were engaged in endurance physical activity.

To achieve the goal, 28 qualified male athletes aged 20.2 ± 0.7 years were examined, who were usually engaged in various sports. Within 7 weeks they were acclimated to workout general endurance. The bulk of the training sessions included the running load at a distance of 5 km. In total, 30 training sessions were conducted during 7 weeks.

A survey of athletes was held in the morning hours, fasting, and included registration of cardiorespiratory system indicators using spiroarteriocardiorythmograph (SACK) [27] under developed by us protocol provided for the three consecutive two-minute registrations: spontaneous respiration (SR) with controlled respiration 6 times per minute (CR₆) and with controlled respiration 15 times per minute (CR₁₅). In addition, the registration of physical development indicators and tests were carried out. Body mass (BM, kg) and body length (BL, cm) were determined, as well as area of the body was calculated. The routine methods of systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and pulse (PBP) blood pressure tests were also used, as well as calculation of a number of indices that characterize the functional state of the cardiorespiratory system and the body as a whole: the index Robinson (IR), Baevsky's adaptive capacity (Baevsky's AP), Skibinska's index, Pirogova's level of physical condition (LPS).

The HRV study included the determination of the following indices: the total HRV-TP (ms²) power, the HRV in the ultra-low frequency range, VLF (ms²), the HRV in the low-frequency range, LF (ms² and n.o.), HRV in the high-frequency range, HF (ms² and n.u.), LF / HF and the heart rate centering index (ICHR). Non-parametric methods of statistical analysis with determination of Wilcoxon criterion were used to evaluate the obtained results of the study.

Results

Table 1 shows the average data of anthropometric measurements and estimated indexes of the functional state of athletes at the beginning and end of the experimental study.

Table 1. Morphofunctional characteristics of athletes at the beginning and end of the experimental study

Parameter	Before	After
BL, cm	178.0 (175.5; 181.0)	178.5 (174.5; 180.5)
BM, kg	73.0 (71.0; 78.5)	75.0 (69.5; 78.5)
SBP, mmHg	120.0 (115.0; 135.0)	112.0 (110.0; 120.0)*
DBP, mmHg	70.0 (65.0; 75.0)	70.0 (65.0; 75.0)
PBP, mmHg	50.0 (45.0; 65.0)	40.0 (37.5; 52.0)*
Robinson's index	79.2 (72.3; 93.0)	74.4 (67.5; 82.5)*
Skibinska's index	5863.6 (4452.8; 7711.1)	6488.0 (5194.4; 8742.4)*
Baevsky's AP	2.00 (1.89; 2.25)	1.87 (1.73; 2.00)*
Pirogova's LPS	0.740 (0.654; 0.816)	0.786 (0.737; 0.865)*

* - $p < 0.05$

The routine performance indicators of the cardiovascular system after exercise training in resting conditions revealed a probable decrease in SBP (mmHg) from 120.0 (115.0; 135.0) to 112.0 (110.0; 120.0), ($p < 0.05$) and PBP (mmHg) from 50.0 (45.0; 65.0) to 40.0 (37.5; 52.0), ($p < 0.05$). It was significant that according to all calculated indexes a pronounced improvement of the functional state was observed (Table 1).

Analyzing the results of the ECG indices of athletes at the beginning and end of the experiment during SR and CR (Table 2), the following should be noted: at the beginning of CR₆, no significant HR (min.⁻¹) changes were noted, but the HR response to CR₁₅ was characterized by a significant increase from 71.4 (63.9; 77.5) to 82.0 (72.2; 89.3), $p < 0.01$, and at the end the HR (min.⁻¹) during SR decreased significantly from 71.4 (63.9; 77.5) to 64.3 (60.8; 68.3), $p < 0.01$, and its reaction to CR₆ and CR₁₅ was significantly lower, but the reaction to CR₁₅ with negligible degree of probability nevertheless exceeded the one during SR and CR₆. It is noteworthy that during CR₆, differences between QTc (c) and ST (n.u.) were not observed in comparison with SR at the beginning and at the end of the experiment. At the same time, CR₁₅ caused significant changes in these indices at the beginning compared to SR (QTc) and CR₆ (QTc and ST), $p < 0.05$. At the end of the study, this difference was retained only by QTc compared to SR.

Table 2. Indicators of electrocardiographic examination of athletes in the 1st withdrawal at the beginning and end of the study

Parameters		SR	CR ₆	CR ₁₅
HR, min ⁻¹	Before	71.4 (63.9; 77.5)	72.4 (67.8; 81.2)	82.0 (72.2; 89.3) ^{**#}
	After	64.3 (60.8; 68.3)	66.2 (62.0; 71.5) [#]	69.2 (64.9; 74.2) [#]
QTc, s	Before	0.412 (0.398; 0.424)	0.413 (0.399; 0.422)	0.420 (0.417; 0.433) [#]
	After	0.409 (0.401; 0.425)	0.413 (0.402; 0.429)	0.420 (0.408; 0.432) [*]
ST, n.u.	Before	0.089 (0.034; 0.126)	0.096 (0.039; 0.147)	0.113 (0.034; 0.157) [*]
	After	0.100 (0.068; 0.122)	0.103 (0.065; 0.127)	0.104 (0.071; 0.152)

* - p < 0.05, ** - p < 0.01 – comparing CR₁₅ and SR;

- p < 0.05 – comparing CR₁₅ and CR₆

Table 3 shows changes in HRV and their CR responses. At the beginning and at end of the study, the TP (ms²) index was the same during SR. During CR₆ and CR₁₅, changes reflected the effect of rhythm and respiratory rate, which was characterized by a significant increase in TP (ms²) in response to CR₆ – to 22316.0 (13248.0; 26699.6), p < 0.001 and a significant decrease in TP (ms²) in response to CR₁₅ – to 2842.1 (1310.4; 4382.4), p < 0.01 at the beginning, and, similarly, at the end of the study. The VLF (ms²) parameter of SR at the beginning and at end of the study did not show any differences, and the reaction to CR₆ did not cause VLF changes (ms²). The reaction to CR₁₅ at the beginning did not differ from the SR and CR₆ parameters. At the end of the training, there was a significant decrease in VLF (ms²) during CR₁₅ 348.0 (196.0; 590.5) compared with SR 765.2 (299.3; 1108.9), and during CR₆ 637.6 (470.9; 930.3), p < 0.01.

The LF (ms²) index during SR at the beginning and at end of the study slightly varied: 1884.6 (823.7; 3329.3) versus 1870.6 (697.0; 8854.8), (p < 0.05). During CR₆ (ms²) there was a pronounced increase in LF (ms²) at the beginning and at the end (p < 0.001), which described the effect of respiration. Similar changes were detected during CR₁₅, which was characterized by a significant decrease in LF (ms²) compared with SR (p < 0.01) and CR₆ (p < 0.001) both at the beginning and at end of the study, which did not differ between themselves.

According to the parameter HF (ms²) at the beginning and at the end of the SR study, there was a decrease from 2035.7 (756.3; 6037.3) to 1693.4 (761.8; 2992.1), (p < 0.05).

Table 3. HRV indicators at the beginning and end of the study

Parameters	Before		After	
			Spontaneous respiration (SR)	
TP, ms ²	4975.5 (2480.0; 11406.2)		4874.6 (2611.2; 13409.6)	
VLF, ms ²	564.8 (334.9; 1310.4)		765.2 (299.3; 1108.9)	
LF, ms ²	1884.6 (823.7; 3329.3)		1870.6 (697.0; 8854.8) [*]	
LFn, n.u.	48.9 (29.9; 74.1)		49.0 (35.0; 69.1)	
HF, ms ²	2035.7 (756.3; 6037.3)		1693.4 (761.8; 2992.1) [*]	
HF, n.u.	49.4 (24.4; 68.1)		46.7 (30.2; 64.2)	
LFHF, ms ² /ms ²	1.00 (0.49; 3.24)		1.11 (0.49; 2.25)	
ICHR, ms ² /ms ²	1.44 (0.63; 3.18)		1.73 (0.91; 5.04)	
Controlled respiration (CR ₆)				
TP, ms ²	22316. (13248.0; 26699.6) ^{###}		19168.7 (16926.0; 25504.1) ^{###}	
VLF, ms ²	676.4 (445.2; 806.6)		637.6 (470.9; 930.3)	
LF, ms ²	18351.3 (9370.2; 21170.3) ^{###}		17056.4 (13642.2; 19126.9) ^{###}	
LFn, n.u.	83.2 (76.2; 89.0) ^{###}		83.9 (78.4; 89.6) ^{###}	
HF, ms ²	2560.9 (1361.6; 5098.0)		2218.7 (1383.8; 3931.3) [#]	
HF, n.u.	15.6 (10.2; 22.2) ^{###}		14.5 (9.8; 18.2) ^{###}	
LFHF, ms ² /ms ²	5.53 (3.61; 9.00) ^{###}		5.77 (4.41; 9.00) ^{###}	
ICHR, ms ² /ms ²	5.56 (3.52; 9.12) ^{###}		6.23 (4.42; 9.59) ^{###}	
Controlled respiration (CR ₁₅)				
TP, ms ²	2842.1 (1310.4; 4382.4) ^{SS&&&}		2726.1 (1552.4; 4816.4) ^{SS&&&}	
VLF, ms ²	499.7 (225.0; 967.2)		348.0 (196.0; 590.5) ^{*SS&&}	
LF, ms ²	583.2 (285.6; 1274.5) ^{SS&&&}		600.5 (285.6; 1043.3) ^{SS&&&}	
LFn, n.u.	41.9 (22.6; 51.5) ^{SS&&&}		34.7 (21.7; 43.3) ^{SS&&&}	
HF, ms ²	967.2 (479.6; 2540.2) ^{SS&&}		1728.8 (829.4; 2683.2) [*]	
HF, n.u.	54.9 (44.1; 76.1) ^{SS&&&}		63.7 (53.2; 76.8) ^{SS&&&}	
LFHF, ms ² /ms ²	0.81 (0.25; 1.21) ^{SS&&&}		0.57 (0.25; 0.81) ^{**SS&&&}	
ICHR, ms ² /ms ²	1.51 (0.60; 2.32) ^{&&&}		0.79 (0.67; 1.23) ^{**SS&&&}	

* - p < 0.05, ** - p < 0.01 – between at the beginning and end;

- p < 0.05, ### - p < 0.01, #### - p < 0.001 – between controlled respiration 6 min.⁻¹ and spontaneous respiration;

SS - p < 0.05, SS - p < 0.01 – between controlled respiration 15 min.⁻¹ and spontaneous respiration;

& - p < 0.05, && - p < 0.01, &&& - p < 0.001 - between controlled respiration 6 min.⁻¹ and controlled respiration 15 min.⁻¹.

When performing CR₆, HF (ms²) compared with SR slightly increases – more significantly at the end of the study (p < 0.05). At CR₁₅; a significant reduction in HF (ms²) was observed – to 967.2 (479.6; 2540.2) in

comparison with SR (2035.7 (756.3; 6037.3)) and CR₆ (2560.9 (1361, 6; 5098.0)), $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively, whereas at the end of the experiment no noticeable differences from HF (ms^2) were recorded during SR and CR₆. At the same time, HF (ms^2) effect on HR at CR₁₅ at the end of the study was significantly higher than at the beginning – 1728.8 (829.4; 2683.2) versus 967.2 (479.6; 2540.2), $p < 0, 05$.

Significant differences in the LF / HF parameter at the beginning and end of the experiment were not observed during SR and CR₆. The probable changes in this indicator were characterized by HRV taking into account the frequency of respiration. However, during CR₁₅, the decrease in LF / HF at the end of the study was more significant than at the beginning ($p < 0.01$). These data were completed by the heart rate centralization index (ICHR): its changes revealed a significant decrease at the end of the experiment when performing the CR₁₅ test – to 0.79 (0.67; 1.23) versus 1.51 (0.60; 2.32) at the beginning ($p < 0.01$), in the absence of significant changes during SR and CR₆.

Discussion

7 weeks cycle endurance training according to standard methods of research led to the expected changes in the cardiovascular system, which are related to decrease in heart rate, SP and PP at rest. These integral indexes characterizing the economization of the heart (IR), the functional state of the respiratory system (Skibinska's index), Pirogov's LPS associated with physical ability and Baevsky's, characterizing the course of adaptation processes revealed a significant improvement. Against this backdrop, there were changes in the reaction of the ECG and HRV indices in response to CR.

Given ECG, it can be argued that with increased CR₆ and CR₁₅ endurance compared to SR, HR responsiveness is significantly reduced, which may indicate a more adequate HR response to hyperventilation, which occurs when tests are performed [28]. However, the QTc, which is a predictor of sudden blood disorders, shows some deterioration during CR₁₅, although it does not reach dangerous levels[29]. Also, during CR₁₅, the decrease in the left ventricular repolarization disorders is sufficiently characteristic at the end of the experiment.

Changes in HRV values during CR are due to the frequency and rhythm of breathing, but the response of the HRV indices is significantly different and indicates, as a rule, the possibility of including the appropriate regulatory mechanisms. During CR₆, the low-frequency (LF) parameters of the heart rate regulator significantly increase, while during CR₁₅ this is characteristic for high-frequency (HF) parameters. Given the HRV characteristics, they are most often connected with the activity of the sympathetic and parasympathetic regulatory circuits, respectively. These changes, first of all, manifest themselves in the analysis of TP (ms^2), LF (ms^2 , n.u.), HF (ms^2 , n.u.), LF/HF and ICHR, but their expressiveness changes with the growth of the endurance of athletes.

First of all, it should be noted that HRV indices at the beginning and end of training do not differ between themselves during CR₆, which suggests that in general, the response to low-frequency effects does not change with increasing endurance.

At the same time, during CR₁₅ an increase in endurance does not lead to differences in the overall regulation of cardiac rhythm, does not affect low-frequency effects, but causes significantly greater activation of the high-frequency component of the regulation of the cardiac rhythm. The latter is reflected in a significant reduction in LF / HF, as compared with the vegetative tone LF at the beginning of the study, and as well as with SR. Similar changes reflect the ICHR changes in the VLF components of the HRV during CR₁₅ were sufficiently informative: they showed a decrease in neurohumoral effects on the heart rate compared to SR and the data at the beginning of the study [30].

Conclusions

The use of CR tests of athletes training endurance allowed us to establish that the increase in the latter is reflected in the response of HRV to CR₁₅, which is characterized by a pronounced increase in HF and a decrease in LF / HF. Significant are also the differences in VLF, which, in case of CR₁₅, indicate a decrease in neurohumoral effects on HR after endurance training.

References

1. Esco, M. R., Flatt, A. A. (2014). Ultra-short-term heart rate variability indexes at rest and post-exercise in athletes: evaluating the agreement with accepted recommendations. *J Sports Sci Med*, 13(3), 535-541. PMID: PMC4126289.
2. Romanchuk, A. P., Noskin, L.A., Pivovarov, V.V., Karganov, M.Yu. (2011). Kompleksnyy podkhod k diagnostike sostoyaniya kardiorespiratornoy sistemy u sportsmenov [Complex approach to the diagnosis of the cardiorespiratory system in athletes]. Odessa: Feniks, 256 p. doi:10.13140/RG.2.1.1729.7443
3. Makivić, B., Nikić, M. D, Willis, M. S. (2013) Heart Rate Variability (HRV) as a Tool for Diagnostic and Monitoring Performance in Sport and Physical Activities. *Journal of Exercise Physiology Online*, 16(3), 103–131.
4. Carter, J.B., Banister, E.W., Blaber, A.P.(2003). Effect of Endurance Exercise on Autonomic Control of Heart Rate. *Sports Med.*, 33 (1), 33-46. PMID: 12477376

5. Romanchuk, A. P. (2005). K voprosu ocenki aktivnosti vegetativnoj nervnoj sistemy u sportsmenov [To a question of an estimation of activity of autonomic nervous system at sportsmen]. *Medical Rehabilitation, Balneology, Physiotherapy*, 4, 31–34. doi:10.5281/zenodo.18109.
6. Romanchuk, A. P. (2013). [Estimation of cardiovascular system reactance of sportsmen at use of tests with controlled respiration]. *Journal of Health Sciences*, 3(4), 335–344. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.16197
7. Lamberts, R.P., Maskell, S., Borresen, J., Lambert, M.I.(2011) Adapting workload improves the measurement of heart rate recovery. *Int. J. Sports Med.*,9, 698 –702. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1275357
8. Romanchuk, A.P., Dolgier, Y.V. (2017). Effects of long-term training experience of aerobic exercises on middle-aged women. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 17(2), 680-687. doi: 10.7752/jpes.2017.02102.
9. Esco, M. R., Williford, H. N., Olson, M. S. (2011). Skinfold thickness is related to cardiovascular autonomic control as assessed by heart rate variability and heart rate recovery. *J Strength Cond Res*, 25(8), 2304-2310. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181f90174.
10. Kaikkonen, P., Nummela, A., Rusko, H. (2007). Heart rate variability dynamics during early recovery after different endurance exercises. *Eur J Appl Physiol.*, 102,79–86. doi: 10.1007/s00421-007-0559-8.
11. Mourot, L., Bouhaddi, M., Tordi, N., Rouillon, J.D., Regnard, J. (2004). Short- and long-term effects of a single bout of exercise on heart rate variability: comparison between constant and interval training exercises. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 92 (4-5), 508–17. doi: 10.1007/s00421-004-1119-0.
12. Ludwig, M., Meenakshi, Sundaram A., Fülle, M., Asteroth, A., Prassler, E. (2015). On Modeling the Cardiovascular System and Predicting the Human Heart Rate under Strain. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies for Ageing Well and e-Health (ICT4AgeingWell-2015)*, 106-117. doi: 10.5220/0005449001060117.
13. Kiviniemi, A. M., Hautala, A. J., Kinnunen, H., Nissilä, J., Virtanen, P., Karjalainen, J., Tulppo, M.P. (2010). Daily exercise prescription on the basis of HR variability among men and women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*, 42(7), 1355–1363. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181cd5f39.
14. Roberts, J. D., Tarpey, M. D., Kass, L. S., Roberts, M. G. (2012). An investigative study into the influence of a commercially available carbohydrate-protein-electrolyte beverage on short term repeated exercise performance. *J Int Soc Spots Nutr.*, 9(1), 5. doi: 10.1186/1550-2783-9-5.
15. Karemaker, J. M., Wesseling, K. H. (2008). Variability in cardiovascular control: the baroreflex reconsidered. *Cardiovasc Eng*, 8(1), 23-29. doi:10.1007/s10558-007-9046-4.
16. Bisschoff, C.A., Coetzee, B., Esco, M.R. (2016a). Relationship between Autonomic Markers of Heart Rate and Subjective Indicators of Recovery Status in Male, Elite Badminton Players. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 15(4), 658-669. PMID: PMC5131220
17. Hedelin, R., Wiklund, U., Bjerle, P., Henriksson-Larsén, K. (2000). Cardiac autonomic imbalance in an overtrained athlete. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*, 32(9), 531–1533. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200009000-00001.
18. Vilamitjana, J. J., Lentini, N. A., Verde, P. E., Pérez Jr. M. F. (2016). Heart rate variability and autonomic activity in a nonfunctional overreached professional soccer player. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 48(5), 841-850. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000486999.81412.ea
19. Mourot, L., Bouhaddi, M., Perrey, S., Cappelle, S., Henriët, M.T., Wolf, J.P., Rouillon, J.D., Regnard, J. (2004). Decrease in heart rate variability with overtraining: assessment by the Poincaré plot analysis *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*, 24 (1), 10-18. PMID: 14717743
20. Tian, Y., He, Z.H., Zhao, J.X., Tao, D.L., Xu, K.Y., Earnest, C.P., McNaughton, L.R. (2013). Heart rate variability threshold values for early-warning nonfunctional overreaching in elite female wrestlers. *J Strength Cond Res.*, 27(6),1511–1519. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31826caef8.
21. Guzii, O. V., Romanchuk, A. P. (2017). Multifunctional determinants of athletes' health. *J. Medicine and Health Research*, 2(1), 12–21.
22. Guzii, O.V., Romanchuk, A. P. (2017). Differentiation of hemodynamics of top athletes depending on heart rate variability after training. *J. Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*, 22(3), 1 – 10. doi:10.9734/JAMMR/2017/33619.
23. Guzii, O. V., Romanchuk, A. P. (2015). Dynamika pokaznykiv variabelnosti kardiorespiratornoi systemy za vplyvu trenuvalnoho navantazhennia [Dynamics of variability cardiorespiratory system under the influence of the training load] *Medical Rehabilitation, Balneology, Physiotherapy*, 1, 35 – 40.
24. Flatt, A. A., Hornikel, B., Esco, M. R. (2017b). Heart rate variability and psychometric responses to overload and tapering in collegiate sprint-swimmers. *J Sci Med Sport*, 20(6), 606-610. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2016.10.017.
25. Romanchuk, A.P. (2013). The Complex Approach to a Multipurpose Estimation of a Sportsmen Condition, In: *Polysystemic Approach to School, Sport and Environment Medicine*, M.Karganov ed., - OMICS Group eBooks, 54–86. doi: 10.4172/978-1-63278-000-3-001

26. Rienzo, M. Di., Parati, G., Radaelli, A., Castiglioni, P. (2009). Baroreflex contribution to blood pressure and heart rate oscillations: time scales, time-variant characteristics and nonlinearities *Philos. Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci.*, 367(1892), 1301–1318. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2008.0274
27. Pivovarov, V.V. (2011). Information-measuring system for functional diagnostics of nervous regulation of blood circulation. Part II. The implementation. *Automation and remote control*, 72(3), 671– 676. doi:10.1134/S0005117911030192
28. Karemaker, J. M. (2009b). Last word on point:counterpoint: respiratory sinus arrhythmia is due to a central mechanism vs. respiratory sinus arrhythmia is due to the baroreflex mechanism. *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 106(5), 1750. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00225.2009.
29. Fonoberova, M., Mezić, I., Buckman, J. F., Fonoberov, V. A., Mezić, A., Vaschillo, E.G., Mun, E.Y., Vaschillo, B., Bates, M. E. (2014). A computational physiology approach to personalized treatment models: the beneficial effects of slow breathing on the human cardiovascular system. *J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 307(7), 1073-1091. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.01011.
30. Rabbani, M., Bambaichi, E., Esfarjani, F., Rabbani, A. (2017). The effects of heart rate versus speed-based high-intensity interval training on heart rate variability in young females. *J Basic Sci Med.*, 2(2), 90-94. doi:10.15171/ijbms.2017.17.