

## Original Article

### Characterization of Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu training effects on the physical Fitness of men and women

LEANDRO LORENÇO-LIMA<sup>1</sup>, TÁCITO P. SOUZA-JUNIOR<sup>2</sup>, ALEXANDRE R. OKUYAMA<sup>3</sup>, STEVEN R. MCANULTY<sup>4</sup>, ALAN C. UTTER<sup>5</sup>, THAÍSA S. MONTEIRO<sup>6</sup>, GUSTAVO BARQUILHA<sup>7</sup>, JOSÉ R BORTOLON<sup>8</sup>, TATIANA P. GERALDO<sup>9</sup>, SANDRO M. HIRABARA<sup>10</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physical Activity Sciences and Sports, Cruzeiro do Sul University, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL;

<sup>1, 6,7,8,9,10</sup> Faculdades Metropolitanas Unidas (FMU), Sao Paulo, BRAZIL;

<sup>2, 3</sup> Research Group on Metabolism, Nutrition and Strength Training, Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, BRAZIL;

<sup>2,4,5</sup> Department of Health & Exercise Science, Appalachian State University, Boone, USA

Published online: October 30, 2020

(Accepted for publication: October 22, 2020)

DOI:10.7752/jpes.2020.s5406

#### Abstract

Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu (BJJ) has gained substantial attention over the past decades, but still faces great absence of scientific production about its characteristics. To substantiate the approach to BJJ instruction, it is essential to understand the physical changes associated to its practice. The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the changes in physical fitness parameters in response to BJJ training, in men (M) and women (W) non-practitioners. Sixteen volunteers (8 M and 8 W) were evaluated before and after 6 weeks of BJJ training, performed twice a week, one hour a day. Body mass, body composition, aerobic power, anaerobic power, 1-RM, hand grip strength, and flexibility data were collected. Data were treated separately for M and W and compared using *Student's t-test*. Matches were classified as predominantly vigorous. No changes were observed in body mass and body composition. Significant increases were observed in  $VO_{2max}$  (M and W), time to exhaustion (M and W),  $HR_{max}$  (M), absolute and relative maximum anaerobic power (M and W) and absolute and relative average anaerobic power (M) values. 1-RM test values for lower limbs were increased in both groups. Non-dominant hand grip strength was elevated for W and the flexibility was increased for M. When comparing the improvements between groups, the delta was greater for M flexibility only. We conclude that a BJJ match is a vigorous activity, and structured BJJ training can promote positive changes in several physical fitness parameters, including aerobic power, anaerobic power, strength and flexibility. Despite the ACSM (2010) exercise recommendations, it is possible to improve physical fitness parameters with as few as 2 hours of BJJ training per week.

**Keywords:** Martial Arts, BJJ, JuJitsu, Grappling, Wrestling, Judo.

#### Introduction

Combat sports have been present in Brazil since the twentieth century, affecting the society with associated values and cultural traits. Combat sports cover all modalities that involve two athletes battling for the victory, which is determined by points, loss of consciousness, submission, or disqualification (Lorenço-Lima et al., 2010). BJJ is one of the most practiced combat sports in Brazil. However, scientific studies analyzing the physical fitness changes as result of BJJ training are still incipient when compared to other martial arts.

The word "Jiu-Jitsu" comes from the synonymous *jūjutsu*, described by two Japanese characters: *jū* that means "gentleness" and *jutsu* meaning "art". Thus, the term Jiu-Jitsu can be translated as the "gentle art" (IBJJF, 2010). Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu began in Belém in 1917 when the Japanese master Mitsuyo Maeda, who then presented the principles of Japanese Jiu-Jitsu (Kano Jiu-Jitsu) to the Gracie family (Almeida Junior et al., 2019). Later on, Carlos and Hélio Gracie started practicing Japanese Jiu-Jitsu and evolved the art into what is currently known as BJJ. They popularized BJJ in Brazil by challenging other martial arts to timeless combats (IBJJF 2010).

The purpose of BJJ is to force the opponent to submit during a match through techniques such as takedowns, holds, chokes, and joint locks. A match can last from 2 to 10 min, depending mainly on the participants' belt rank, gender, and age. If there is no submission during the match, a specific scoring system is used to determine the winner.

BJJ matches are characterized as acyclic due to the different movement sequences employed, requiring a large amount of strength, speed, power, anaerobic endurance, and flexibility (Oliveira et al., 2009). A low-/high-intensity ratio of 8:1 is demonstrated during matches, with high-intensity actions lasting in average 3 seconds (Andreato et al., 2015b). Due to these acyclic and high-intensity characteristics, there is a reduction in the intramuscular phosphocreatine and glycogen concentrations (Andreato et al., 2015b; Balson et al., 1999;

2990

Hargreaves et al., 1997; Oliveira et al., 2009). However, in comparison with striking-based combat sports, grappling-based arts, such as BJJ, can be performed at higher intensity with lower risk of major injuries (Øvretveit et al., 2019).

BJJ is a prominent sport in Brazil and has been gaining substantial interest worldwide over the last few decades (Andreato et al., 2017). Considering the popularity of BJJ, the absence of scientific information about this modality emphasizes an existing gap in grappling sport science, and therefore leading to insufficient understanding about the specificity of BJJ making the training prescriptions limited as well (Andreato, et al., 2015a; Coswig et al., 2018). Most instructors and trainers are unaware of the physiological and biomechanical characteristics that may take place during and after matches, which may lead to the adoption of empirical training methods (Del Vecchio et al., 2007). Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine whether the practice of BJJ for 2 hours a week, can improve strength, aerobic power, anaerobic power, and flexibility in male (M) and female (W) non-practitioners.

## Methods

Sixteen non-experienced volunteers (8 men and 8 women) participated in this study. Volunteers were all physical education students and signed a consent form approved by the *Cruzeiro do Sul* University Ethics Committee. Exclusion criteria were: history of severe muscle injury, disorder of the endocrine system, use of any hormonal or nutritional supplement, and previous practice of any grappling sport.

The experimental protocol was divided in three phases. Phase one consisted of 5 days. In the morning of the first day, the volunteers' body mass, height, body composition, maximum handgrip strength, and flexibility data were collected. In the afternoon, one repetition maximum (1-RM) was collected for squat and bench press. On the third day (48 hours after the 1-RM tests), anaerobic power was evaluated, and on the fifth day (after an additional 48 hours), the aerobic power was collected. Phase two consisted of BJJ No-Gi training (no uniform), performed one hour per day, twice a week, for 6 weeks. All subjects had 100% participation. Training sessions were composed of warm up (10 min), technical drilling (15 min), live rounds (30 min), and cooldown (5 min). Matches during live rounds were periodized, allowing volunteers to increase and sustain motivation. In the first two weeks three 5-min matches were performed, with one 5-min interval between each one. In the third and fourth weeks, two 5-min matches were performed, followed by one 5-min rest and two more 5-min matches. In the last two weeks, five 5-min matches were performed with no rest interval among matches. During the training period, volunteers learned and practiced 16 BJJ techniques: three chokes, six joint locks, three sweeps, two guard passes and two escapes. Phase three was performed in the same fashion as phase one for optimal comparative analyses.

To classify the intensity of the matches, heart rate (HR) was collected through an HR monitor (Polar RS800CX) during the last two weeks of phase two. HR was recorded at rest, in addition to average HR and maximum HR during the five combats using the software Polar ProTrainer 5. HR was used to determine the intensity due to its viability, considering the huge body contact during matches. Intensity was calculated according to the formula (Karvonen et al., 1957):  $\text{Intensity (\%HRR)} = (\text{HR}_{\text{average}} - \text{HR}_{\text{rest}}) / (\text{HR}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{HR}_{\text{rest}})$ . Average intensity was classified using the ACSM position stand (ACSM, 2011).

Height was measured utilizing a professional stadiometer (Sanny® ES2020). Body composition was assessed by bioelectrical impedance analyzer (Biodynamics 310E), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Body mass was determined by a digital scale (Welmy® W200).  $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ,  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ , and time to exhaustion were performed on a treadmill (Gesan model RY3500) according to the protocol suggested by Davis et al. (1982). During the test, a gas analyzer with telemetry system (K4b2, Rome, Italy) was used. The highest value recorded on the HR monitor until fatigue was considered as  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ . The test was interrupted when the volunteers were not able to sustain the effort (time to exhaustion).

The anaerobic power was determined by the 30-s Wingate test showing the absolute ( $W_{\text{max}}$ ) and relative ( $W_{\text{max/kg}}$ ) maximum anaerobic power, absolute ( $W_{\text{ave}}$ ) and relative ( $W_{\text{ave/kg}}$ ) average anaerobic power, and fatigue index (FI) for the lower limbs. Test load applied was  $83 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of body weight and  $75 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of body weight for M and W, respectively (Dotan & Bar-Or, 1983). A cycle ergometer CEFISE Biotec 1800 with the software Ergometric 6.0 was employed for data collection.

After a brief warm up, 1-RM was determined after four consecutive attempts with 180 seconds rest, on bench press and squat. The highest value collected was considered for analysis (ACSM, 2010). Upper limb strength was also determined using the handgrip dynamometer (Electronic Handgrip Dynamometer, Day Home EH101). Testing was performed with both dominant and non-dominant hands. During the test, volunteers remained sat down in a chair, and maintained the arm resting on a table with the hand in a supinate position. Three non-consecutive attempts of maximum effort, alternating between dominant and non-dominant hand, were performed, pausing for 60 seconds between efforts (Sale et al., 1990). The highest value was considered for analysis.

To access the trunk and lower limbs flexibility, the sit and reach test was applied (Wells & Dillon, 1952). A Wells Box (Sanny Instant Flex® BW2002) was employed for data collection. Results are expressed as mean and ( $\pm$ ) standard deviation and were analyzed by *Student's t-test* for paired samples using IBM SPSS Statistic 25 software.

## Results

Average age and height for M was  $22.13 \pm 5.08$  years and  $175.25 \pm 3.01$  cm and for W was  $20.75 \pm 3.54$  years and  $159.75 \pm 7.17$  cm, respectively. There was no difference in body mass (M Pre:  $78.86 \pm 7.92$  kg, M Post:  $79.16 \pm 8.07$  kg; W Pre:  $56.25 \pm 9.96$  kg, W Post:  $56.51 \pm 8.87$  kg), body fat percentage (M Pre:  $19.36 \pm 5.16$  %, M Post:  $18.21 \pm 5.16$  %; W Pre:  $24.16 \pm 3.60$  %, W Post:  $23.71 \pm 4.38$  %), body fat weight (M Pre:  $15.48 \pm 5.18$  kg, M Post:  $14.68 \pm 5.26$  kg; W Pre:  $13.78 \pm 4.43$  kg, W Post:  $13.51 \pm 3.95$  kg), lean weight (M Pre:  $63.37 \pm 4.91$  kg, M Post:  $64.47 \pm 4.45$  kg; W Pre:  $42.42 \pm 6.14$  kg, W Post:  $42.8 \pm 6.68$  kg), body water (M Pre:  $43.91 \pm 3.96$  L, M Post:  $45.07 \pm 3.53$  L; W Pre:  $29.52 \pm 3.51$  L, W Post:  $30.07 \pm 3.32$  L), body water percentage (M Pre:  $56.05 \pm 3.86$  %, M Post:  $57.15 \pm 3.78$  %; W Pre:  $52.93 \pm 3.59$  %, W Post:  $53.57 \pm 3.50$  %) and body water of lean weight (M Pre:  $69.32 \pm 1.23$  %, M Post:  $69.86 \pm 1.33$  %; W Pre:  $69.76 \pm 2.06$  %, W Post:  $70.17 \pm 2.12$  %) for both groups between pre and post-training. Average matches intensity was  $77.38 \pm 6.80$  % and  $70.36 \pm 18.79$  % for M and W, respectively, both being classified as vigorous activity (Table 1).  $HR_{rest}$  was statistically different between groups (H:  $72.87 \pm 5.64$  bpm; M:  $65.63 \pm 10.50$  bpm).

**Table 1.** Heart rate (HR) and matches intensity (%).

	Rest	Average during matches	Maximum during matches	Intensity (%)
Men	$72.87 \pm 5.64^a$	$159.75 \pm 6.56$	$190.62 \pm 6.56$	$77.38 \pm 6.80$
Women	$65.63 \pm 10.50$	$149.13 \pm 25.15$	$182.63 \pm 21.10$	$70.36 \pm 18.79$

<sup>a</sup>p < 0.05 between groups

Squat's 1-RM was higher after training, for M (Pre:  $91.25 \pm 26.95$  kg; Post:  $108.75 \pm 31.36$  kg) and W (Pre:  $61.25 \pm 22.95$  kg, Post:  $78.75 \pm 6.40$  kg). Bench press 1-RM did not show any difference for both groups (M Pre:  $82 \pm 22.37$  kg, M Post:  $81.5 \pm 20.38$  kg; W Pre:  $33.5 \pm 4.1$  kg, W Post:  $35.75 \pm 4.06$  kg). Flexibility increased for M (Pre:  $25.5 \pm 8.83$  cm; Post:  $28.87 \pm 8.0$  cm) but not for W (Pre:  $32.62 \pm 11.53$  cm, Post:  $33.12 \pm 11.20$  cm).

Handgrip strength increased for W non-dominant hand (Pre:  $24.35 \pm 3.58$  kg; Post:  $26.98 \pm 4.02$  kg). No differences were found for the dominant hand for W (Pre:  $27.53 \pm 4.52$  kg, Post:  $29.15 \pm 4.38$  kg) and dominant (Pre:  $49.27 \pm 10.14$  kg, Post:  $49.87 \pm 9.26$  kg) or non-dominant (Pre:  $48.41 \pm 9.89$  kg, Post:  $49.12 \pm 7.68$  kg) hand for M. An increase in both, absolute and relative maximum anaerobic power (M and W) was observed, as well as in absolute and relative average anaerobic power (M). Despite the increase, fatigue index did not change (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Anaerobic power pre and post BJJ training.

	M Pre	M Post	W Pre	W Post
Max. power (W)	$325.82 \pm 37.17$	$363.42 \pm 30.63^a$	$184.75 \pm 28.75$	$201.83 \pm 19.64^a$
Max. power (W/kg)	$4.22 \pm 0.53$	$4.63 \pm 0.53^a$	$3.30 \pm 0.44$	$3.61 \pm 0.33^a$
Ave. power (W)	$202.02 \pm 31$	$208.88 \pm 30.52^a$	$115.26 \pm 19.64$	$118.93 \pm 13.91$
Ave. power (W/kg)	$2.57 \pm 0.39$	$2.65 \pm 0.37^a$	$1.87 \pm 0.35$	$1.98 \pm 0.28$
FI (%)	$62.07 \pm 17.15$	$65.26 \pm 15.09$	$59.02 \pm 5.68$	$63.15 \pm 4.86$

<sup>a</sup>p < 0.05 vs pre; M Pre, men pre; M Post, men post; W Pre, women pre; W Post, women post.

Improved aerobic power was observed due to BJJ training for both groups, as demonstrated by the increase in  $VO_{2max}$  relative for M and W.

This increase can also be noted in the absolute  $VO_{2max}$  for M and W. Time to exhaustion was increased for M and W.  $HR_{max}$  was higher for M only (Pre:  $185.75 \pm 9.76$  bpm; Post:  $192.00 \pm 12.02$  bpm) (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Aerobic capacity pre and post BJJ training.

	M Pre	M Post	W Pre	W Post
$VO_{2max}$ (mL/kg/min)	$46.28 \pm 4.30$	$50.57 \pm 5.29^a$	$38.34 \pm 4.85$	$43.73 \pm 7.91^a$
$VO_{2max}$ (L/min)	$3.63 \pm 0.29$	$3.98 \pm 0.32^a$	$2.13 \pm 0.33$	$2.44 \pm 0.41^a$
$FC_{max}$ (bpm)	$185.75 \pm 9.76$	$192.00 \pm 12.02^a$	$183.70 \pm 9.99$	$190 \pm 10.28$
Time to exhaustion (min)	$11.34 \pm 0.92$	$12.42 \pm 1.23^a$	$9.08 \pm 1.69$	$10.07 \pm 1.94^a$

<sup>a</sup>p < 0.05 vs pre; M Pre, men pre; M Post, men post; W Pre, women pre; W Post, women post.

Delta (post minus pre) was calculated for the gain comparison between groups. M gain was greater than W for flexibility only, whereas the gain for M was  $3.37 \pm 3.02$  cm and the gain for W was  $0.5 \pm 2.56$  cm.

## Discussion

Similar to judo, BJJ demands both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism (Franchini et al., 2007). Anaerobic metabolism provides quick energy at maximal muscular explosion times during combat, while the aerobic

metabolism contributes to the maintenance of the effort throughout the combat and also to recover energy during the short rest or effort reduction periods (Franchini et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 1989).

Degoutte et al. (2003) have found a  $HR_{rest}$  of 54.7 bpm and  $HR_{max}$  of 182.4 bpm during judo combats. The present study shows  $HR_{rest}$  of  $72.87 \pm 5.64$  bpm and  $65.63 \pm 10.50$  bpm, for M and W respectively.  $HR_{max}$  was  $190.62 \pm 6.56$  and  $182.63 \pm 21.10$  bpm, for M and W during BJJ combats demonstrating that both martial arts lead to similar changes in HR. The matches' average intensity was calculated allowing the classification as vigorous intensity (ACSM, 2010) with maximal effort moments, as demonstrated by the  $HR_{ave}$  and  $HR_{max}$  during combats.

Laskowski et al. (2008) have found increased aerobic power in long-term judo practitioners, due to morphological and functional myocardial changes. The present study shows increased aerobic power in a short-term BJJ practice (6 weeks), as demonstrated by the increase in  $VO_{2max}$  for M (Pre:  $46.28 \pm 4.30$  ml/kg/min; Post:  $50.57 \pm 5.29$  ml/kg/min) and W (pre:  $38.34 \pm 4.85$  ml/kg/min; Post:  $43.73 \pm 7.91$  ml/kg/min).  $VO_{2max}$  value for the Canadian Judo Team athletes was 59.2 ml/kg/min (4.49 l/min) on the treadmill test (Thomas et al., 1989). In a systematic review, Andreato et al. (2017) encountered  $VO_{2max}$  values between 42 and 52 mL/kg/min for BJJ practitioners of different competitive levels, with no discrimination between competitive level and  $VO_{2max}$ .

Franchini et al. (2011) reported that an excellent level of physical fitness is necessary for an athlete to be successful in international competitions. However,  $VO_{2max}$  values tend not to differ among different levels of competitive athletes, being around 50-55 ml/kg/min for men and 40-45 ml/kg/min for women judo practitioners (Franchini et al., 2005). These values were found after 6 weeks of BJJ training in this study. In another study, Franchini et al. (2007) have observed that most of the judo practitioners present  $VO_{2max}$  around 50-60 ml/kg/min. Gariod et al. (1995) have found a higher rate of phosphocreatine resynthesis in judo practitioners with higher  $VO_{2max}$  than practitioners with lower  $VO_{2max}$ .

This characteristic is important in intermittent sports like BJJ, in which athletes must perform high-intensity tasks with short recovery periods (Franchini et al., 2007).

The physiological profile of successful wrestlers involves high anaerobic power, which can vary from 11.5 to 19.9 W/kg (Horswill, 1992). Long-term judo practitioners have greater anaerobic power than sedentary individuals (Laskowski et al., 2008). Canadian Judo Team players have maximum anaerobic power of 13.7 W/kg for lower limbs (Thomas et al., 1989), while the present study shows  $4.63 \pm 0.53$  W/kg for M and  $3.61 \pm 0.33$  W/kg for W. Anaerobic power shows the highest differences among athletes from different competitive level and martial arts modalities. There was a major difference between our study results (short period of BJJ training) and previous studies results involving experienced athletes from sports with similar characteristics to BJJ.

Flexibility is an important factor in BJJ for the application of some techniques and injury prevention. It is developed during training and is considered as a determinant capacity in the sport. However, Horswill (1992) have reported medium flexibility in unsuccessful wrestlers, higher than those found in the present study that showed regular flexibility ( $28.87 \pm 8.0$  cm) for M and medium for W ( $33.12 \pm 11.20$  cm). Andreato et al. (2016) found poor average flexibility among experienced BJJ athletes ( $11 \pm 4$  years of training). The improvements found on our study exclusively on M can be attributed to a poorer level of flexibility at starting point, while the W, because of anatomical and physiological characteristics, started from a higher flexibility level.

BJJ training involves muscle contractions at all times. To execute a technique, it is necessary to hold, push or pull the opponent, while exerting excessive forearm muscular contraction (Almeida Junior et al., 2019). In our study, the results of these contractions were assessed by two different tests. The first test showed that the handgrip strength increased for the W non-dominant hand. Andreato et al. (2017) found isometric handgrip strength values between 48 and 57 kg force for M. When dissecting the results by experience level our results of  $49.87 \pm 9.26$  kg (dominant) and  $49.12 \pm 7.68$  kg (non-dominant) for M were slightly higher than the value found by Andreato et al. (2017) for novices,  $43.6 \pm 7.1$  and  $43.3 \pm 6.6$ , and white belts,  $46.7 \pm 6.5$  and  $47.2 \pm 5.8$ , for right and left hands respectively.

On the second test, there was an increase for M and W lower limbs strength, as showed on the squat 1-RM. Schmidt et al. (2005) have evaluated squat and bench press 1-RM in college wrestlers of the Division III National Collegiate Athletic Association. Data were  $157.9 \pm 25.15$ ,  $150.8 \pm 25.2$  and  $161.4 \pm 25.6$  kg for squat and  $103.4 \pm 25.5$ ,  $98.3 \pm 25.4$  and  $106.4 \pm 26$  kg for bench press pre-season, season and post-season, respectively (29). Franchini et al. (2007) have found  $110 \pm 25$  kg for team A and  $110 \pm 23$  kg for B and C on the Brazilian Judo Team bench press 1-RM.

These investigators also found  $104 \pm 27$  kg for the team A and  $104 \pm 18$  kg for B and C on squat 1-RM. The present study shows  $108.75 \pm 31.36$  kg for M squat and  $81.5 \pm 20.38$  kg for M bench press after BJJ training. The difference among the study values are probably related to weight difference among athletes and regular practice experience. The difference between M and W 1-RM found on our study is also related to M higher body mass and therefore higher lean body mass.

## Conclusion

We conclude that: (1) BJJ matches are vigorous intensity activities; (2) short-term BJJ training can improve several physical fitness variables, such as aerobic power, anaerobic power, muscular strength and flexibility in M and W. It is noteworthy that these capacities alone are not necessarily predictive of performance in this sport, considering that technical and tactic skills are also extremely important for success in BJJ.

BJJ is an effective sport modality for health improvement, as demonstrated by the enhancement of the volunteers' overall physical fitness. BJJ can also have an important role in the cardiorespiratory and anaerobic fitness due to the vigorous intensity during combats. The strength can be increased, as well as the flexibility for those with a poor initial level. Despite the ACSM(2010) exercise recommendations, it is possible to achieve physical fitness improvements with 2 hour of BJJ training per week.

## References

- ACSM. (2010) Exercise testing, Chapter 2, ACSM's Guidelines for exercise testing and prescription 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- ACSM. (2011). Position stand: Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 47: 1334-1359.
- Andreato, L.V., Julio, U.F., Panissa, V.L.G., Esteves, J.V.D.C., Hardt, F., Franzói-De-Moraes, S.M., Souza, C.O., & Franchini E. (2015a) Brazilian jiu-jitsu simulated competition. Part I: Metabolic, hormonal, cellular damage and heart rate responses. *J Strength Cond Res* 29:2538–2549.
- Andreato, L.V., Julio, U.F., Panissa, V.L.G., Esteves, J.V.D.C., Hardt, F., Franzói-De-Moraes, S.M., Souza, C.O., & Franchini E. (2015b). Brazilian jiu-jitsu simulated competition Part II: physical performance, time-motion, technical-tactical analyses, and perceptual responses. *J Strength Cond Res* 29(7):2015–2025.
- Andreato, L.V., Lara, F.J.D., Andrade, A., & Branco, B.H.M. (2017). Physical and Physiological Profiles of Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu Athletes: a Systematic Review. *Sports Med Open* 3(9). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-016-0069-5>
- Andreato, L.V., Santos, J.F.S., Esteves, J.V.D.C., Panissa, V.L.G., Julio, U.F., & Franchini, E. (2016). Physiological, Nutritional and Performance Profiles of Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu Athletes. *J hum Kin* 1(53): 261-271. doi: 10.1515/hukin-2016-0029
- Almeida Junior, H., Bastos, A.A., Martins, F.J.A., Souza, R.F., Martins, C.O.D., Silva, A.G., Souza, T.A., & Regi, R.P. (2019). Comparison of the thermal profile of judokas and Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu athletes. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport* 19(4): 3-7. DOI:10.7752/jpes.2019.s1001
- Balson, P.D., Gaitanos, G.C., Söderlund, K., & Ekblom, B. (1999). High-intensity exercise and muscle glycogen availability in humans. *Acta Physiol Scand* 165: 337-345.
- Coswig, V.S., Gentil, P., Bueno, J.C.A, Follmer, B., Marques, V.A., & Del Vecchio, F.B. (2018). Physical fitness predicts technical-tactical and time-motion profile in simulated Judo and Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu matches. *PeerJ* 6. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4851
- Davis, J.A., Whipp, B.J., Lamarra, N., Huntsman, D.J., Frank, M.H., & Wasserman, K. (1982). Effect of ramp slope on determination of aerobic parameters from the ramp exercise test. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 14: 339-343.
- Degoutte, F., Jouanel, P., & Filaire, E. (2003). Energy demands during a judo match and recovery. *Br J Sports Med* 37: 245-249, 2003.
- Del Vecchio, F.B., Bianchi, S., Hirata, S.M., & Chacon-Mikahil, M.P.T. (2007) Morphofunctional analysis of Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu practitioners and temporal and quantify study of motor actions. *Mov Percep* 7: 263-281.
- Dotan, R., & Bar-Or, O. (1983). Load optimization for the Wingate anaerobic test. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 51: 409-417, 1983.
- Franchini, E., Del Vecchio, F.B., Matsushique, K.A., & Artioli, G.G. (2011). Physiological profiles of elite judo athletes. *Sports Med* 41: 147-166.
- Franchini, E., Nunes, A.V., Moraes, J.M., & Del Vecchio, F.B. (2007) Physical fitness and anthropometrical profile of the Brazilian male judo team. *J Physiol Anthropol* 26: 59-67.
- Franchini, E., Takito, M.Y., Kiss, M.A.P.D.M., & Sterkowicz, S. (2005). Physical fitness and anthropometric differences between elite and non-elite judo players. *Biol Sport* 22: 315-328.
- Gariod, L., Favre-Juvin, A., Novel, V., Reutenauer, H., Majeau, H., & Rossi, A. (1995). Energy profile evaluation of judokas in <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. *Sci Sports* 10: 201-207.
- Hargreaves, M., Finn, J.P., Withers, R.T., Halbert, J.A., Scroop, G.C., Mackay, M., Snow, R.J., & Carey, M.F. (1997). Effect of muscle glycogen availability on maximal exercise performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 75: 188-192.
- Horswill, C.A. (1992). Applied physiology of amateur wrestling. *Sports Med* 14: 114-143.
- IBJJF. Jiu-Jitsu history. Retrieved June 2019 from <http://www.ibjjf.org>.

- Karvonen, M.J., Kentala, E., & Mustala, O. (1957). The effects of training on heart rate: a longitudinal study. *Ann Med Exp Biol Fenn* 35: 307-315, 1957.
- Laskowski, R., Wysocki, K., Multan, A., & Haga, S. (2008). Changes in cardiac structure and function among judoists resulting from long-term judo practice. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 48: 366-370.
- Lorenço-Lima, L., Monteiro, G.S., Joel, G.B., Hatanaka, E., & Hirabara, S.M. (2010). Muscle damage changes after a simulated Jiu-Jitsu competition. In: *Annals of the II International Congress of Physical Education, Sports and Leisure UNESP*: pp.551.
- Oliveira, J.F., Silva, F.M.M., Navarro, A.C., Navarro, F., & Ornellas, F.H. (2009). Effects of different carbohydrates supplements on glycemia of Jiu-Jitsu athletes. *Rev Bras Fisiol Exerc* 8: 65-70.
- Øvretveit, K., Sæther, S.A., & Mehus, A.I. (2019). Mastery goals are associated with training effort in Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport* 19(4): 1294-1299.
- Sale, D.G., Jacobs, I., MacDougall, J.D., & Garner, S. (1990). Comparison of two regimens of concurrent strength and endurance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 22: 348-356.
- Schmidt, W.D., Piencikowski, C.L., & Vandervest, R.E. (2005). Effects of a competitive wrestling season on body composition, strength, and power in National Collegiate Athletic Association Division III college wrestlers. *J Strength Cond Res* 19: 505-508.
- Thomas, S.G., Cox, M.H., LeGal, Y.M., Verde, T.J., & Smith, H.K. (1989) Physiological profiles of the Canadian National Judo Team. *Can J Sport Sci* 14: 142-147.
- Wells, K.F., & Dillon, E.K. (1952). The Sit and Reach - A Test of Back and Leg Flexibility. *Res Quart* 23: 115-118.